

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/072,368	MARTINO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	San-ming Hui	1617

**All Participants:**

(1) San-ming Hui.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Andrea Doigo, Attorney of record.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 August 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Dorigo informed the examiner that no reply was filed in response to the office action mailed February 12, 2004. The applicant will consider filing petition to revive the case later*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)